

### **ORAL PRESENTATION**

Open Access

# The effectivity of the new improved Maastricht brace compared with the Boston brace

J Hermus\*, M Hulsbosch, C Arts, L Van Rhijn

From 8th International Conference on Conservative Management of Spinal Deformities and SOSORT 2011 Annual Meeting

Barcelona, Spain. 19-21 May 2011

#### **Background**

The brace 2000 was developed to improve the compliance of brace treatment and therefore its results. We further developed the brace 2000 in the Maastricht brace (M brace) to enhance the effectivity of the brace and its wearing comfort.

#### Materials and methods

Pressure measurements were performed in 4 patients wearing the Boston brace and the M brace to understand the efficacy between the two braces without intervariability [1,2].

#### **Results**

The mean primary right thoracic curve was 29° uncorrected; the mean secondary curve measured 19°. In the Boston brace group the mean primary right thoracic curve was 22°; the mean secondary curve measured 16°. The mean corrective force over the lumbar brace pad in standing position was 382 N; over the thoracic brace pad it was 285 N. In the M brace group the mean primary right thoracic curve was 20°; the mean secondary curve measured 13°. The mean corrective force over the lumbar brace pad in standing position was 373 N; over the thoracic brace pad it was 311 N. Difference in M-brace and Boston brace was not significant, for the lumbar brace pad: 0.12, for the thoracic brace pad 0.07 with ANOVA analysis.

#### **Conclusions**

There is a tendency that the M Brace give a higher pressure comparing to the classic Boston brace with a better correction on the spinal radiograph. Bracing results are directly related to compliance with brace treatment;

therefore, optimal results cannot be achieved without the patient's cooperation and family support. Brace mechnisms can be explained and understood by giving more insight about pressure/force measurements in the brace. The Quality of life measured with the SRS 22 and Brace questionnaire was higher in the Brace 2000 than the Boston brace.

Published: 27 January 2012

#### References

- Parent S, Newton PO, Wenger DR: Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: natural history and long-term treatment effects. Instr Course Lect 2005, 54:529-36, Poriow.
- van den Hout JA, van Rhijn LW, van den Munckhof RJ, van Ooy A: Interface corrective force measurements in Boston brace treatment. Eur. Spine 1, 2002, 11(4):332-5.

doi:10.1186/1748-7161-7-\$1-O41

Cite this article as: Hermus *et al.*: The effectivity of the new improved Maastricht brace compared with the Boston brace. *Scoliosis* 2012 7(Suppl 1):O41.

## Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit



Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, The Netherlands

