

## **ORAL PRESENTATION**

**Open Access** 

# Complete validation of plumbline distances as a screening tool for sagittal plane deformities

S Negrini<sup>1\*</sup>, S Donzelli<sup>1</sup>, F Zaina<sup>1</sup>, K Heitman<sup>2</sup>, G Frattocchi<sup>3</sup>, M Mangone<sup>3</sup>

From 8th International Conference on Conservative Management of Spinal Deformities and SOSORT 2011 Annual Meeting

Barcelona, Spain. 19-21 May 2011

### **Background**

While for scoliosis screening Scoliometer has been widely validated, there is no validated screening instrument for sagittal plane deformities.

#### **Purpose**

To validate a screening tool for sagittal plane deformities (plumbline distances - PD).

#### Material and methods

Surface measurements (Formetric) of kyphosis/lordosis were considered the Gold Standard [1]. Correlations between Human PD (HPD), Formetric PD (FPD) and Gold Standard were searched in 129 school screening pupils (age 11.8±0.7): not correlated PD were eliminated. ROC-curve statistical technique was used to determine the best cut-off for remaining PDs.

Final FPD were verified in 7257 Formetric evaluations from the Diers database (3 age groups: 6-9y12m, 10-17y12m, 18-78). Final HPD were verified in 103 scoliosis/hyperkyphosis patients aged 14.3±2.2.

#### **Results**

HPDs correlate with FPDs (0.49-0.57), C7+L3 with kyphosis (0.54-0.58), L3 with kyphosis and lordosis (0.42-0.56). To identify 60° kyphosis, a cut-off of 90 mm for C7+L3 demonstrated an overall accuracy range of 75-93%, high specificity (78-95%), variable sensitivity (25-83%). HPDs very well ruled out normals (negative predictive value –PV 93-99%), even if with high numbers of false positives (positive predictive value +PV 8-25%). Similarly, for 55° lordosis, a cut-off of 45 mm for L3 demonstrated a 75-94% overall accuracy, 70-94%

specificity and 25-100% sensitivity, with -PV 93-100% and +PV 9-20%.

#### **Conclusions**

In all groups evaluated results were similar. Below 90mm C7+L3 (45mm L3) almost all pupils are below 60° kyphosis (55° lordosis); in the remaining 20% a not-ionizing surface evaluation (Formetric) should be proposed to identify real deformities (1 out of 4 to 10).

#### **Author details**

<sup>1</sup>ISICO (Italian Scientific Spine Institutes) Milan, Italy. <sup>2</sup>Diers International, GMBH, Germany. <sup>3</sup>Cattedra Medicina Fisica e Riabilitativa, Università La Sapienza, Roma, Italy.

Published: 27 January 2012

#### Reference

 Weiss HR, Dieckmann J, Gerner HJ: Outcome of the in-patient rehabilitation in patients with M. Scheuermann evaluated by surface topography. Stud Heelth Technol Inform 2002, 88:246-9.

doi:10.1186/1748-7161-7-S1-O16

**Cite this article as:** Negrini *et al.*: **Complete validation of plumbline distances as a screening tool for sagittal plane deformities.** *Scoliosis* **2012 <b>7**(Suppl 1):O16.

# Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit



<sup>1</sup>ISICO (Italian Scientific Spine Institutes) Milan, Italy Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

